Mitotic noncoding RNA processing promotes kinetochore and spindle assembly in *Xenopus*
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Transcription at the centromere of chromosomes plays an important role in kinetochore assembly in many eukaryotes, and noncoding RNAs contribute to activation of the mitotic kinase Aurora B. However, little is known about how mitotic RNA processing contributes to spindle assembly. We found that inhibition of transcription initiation or RNA splicing, but not translation, leads to spindle defects in *Xenopus* egg extracts. Spliceosome inhibition resulted in the accumulation of high molecular weight centromeric transcripts, concomitant with decreased recruitment of the centromere and kinetochore proteins CENP-A, CENP-C, and NDC80 to mitotic chromosomes. In addition, blocking transcript synthesis or processing during mitosis caused accumulation of MCAK, a microtubule depolymerase, on the spindle, indicating mis-regulation of Aurora B. These findings suggest that co-transcriptional recruitment of the RNA processing machinery to nascent mitotic transcripts is an important step in kinetochore and spindle assembly and challenge the idea that RNA processing is globally repressed during mitosis.

Introduction

Chromosome segregation requires that chromosomes attach to the spindle through kinetochores, complexes that assemble on specialized centromeric chromatin. Multiple cellular mechanisms contribute to this process, and mounting evidence suggests that components of the spliceosome, the dynamic RNP complex that removes introns from RNA polymerase II (Pol II) transcripts (Wahl et al., 2006; David et al., 2011), are also involved. Genome-wide screens in cultured cells identified splicing factors as important for cell division (Goshima et al., 2007; Kittle et al., 2007; Somma et al., 2008; Neumann et al., 2010), and microtubule- and mitotic chromatin-interacting proteins biochemically copurified with the catalytically active spliceosome isolated from HeLa cell nuclear extracts (Makarov et al., 2002). Recently, a role for the Prp19 splicing complex in transcription at the centromere during mitosis is important for kinase and spindle assembly and challenge the idea that RNA processing is globally repressed during mitosis.

Work in a variety of systems including plants, fission yeast, and cultured mammalian cells showed that Pol II transcription at the centromere during mitosis is important for kinetochore assembly (Chen and Wong, 2012; Gent and Dawe, 2012). Because splicing factors are co-transcriptionally recruited to Pol II transcripts (Listerman et al., 2006; David et al., 2011), involvement of the RNA processing machinery in centromeric noncoding RNA (ncRNA) biogenesis could explain its mitotic relevance. Support for this idea comes from the observation that the splicing factor Prp4 is kinetochore-localized during mitosis (Montembault et al., 2007) and that splicing factors interact with centromeric transcripts in mouse cells (Maison et al., 2011). Although little is known about the RNA biogenesis pathway at centromeres, centromeric transcription is important for centromere protein A (CENP-A) loading across eukaryotic species (Saffery et al., 2003; Nakano et al., 2008; Cardinale et al., 2009; Chueh et al., 2009; Bergmann et al., 2012; Quénet and Dalal, 2014; Chen et al., 2015). Furthermore, RNA binding by the inner kinetochore protein CENP-C promotes its association with centromeric DNA in plants and animals (Wong et al., 2007; Du et al., 2010; Rošić et al., 2014), which is a prerequisite for kinetochore assembly (Gascoigne et al., 2011; Rago et al., 2015). Studies in mouse cells and in *X. laevis* egg extracts have revealed that centromeric ncRNAs are also important for Aurora B kinase activation (Ferri et al., 2009; Blower, 2016), and RNA binding may be a general mechanism for regulating Aurora B activity (Jambhekar et al., 2014). Among its many roles (Carmena et al., 2012), centromere-associated Aurora B regulates the localization and activity of MCAK, a microtubule depolymerase that controls kinetochore fiber attachment to chromosomes as well as overall microtubule distribution (Andrews et al., 2004; Lan et al., 2004; Sampath et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2007; Tanenbaum and Medema, 2011; Ems-McClung et al., 2013).

© 2016 Grenfell et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike-No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-ns/3.0/).
To investigate the mitotic functions of the RNA processing machinery, we used metaphase-arrested *Xenopus* egg extracts, which do not require global transcription and translation for spindle assembly (Newport and Kirschner, 1982a,b; Maresca and Heald, 2006; Blower et al., 2007), to identify two mitotic roles for splicing factors. First, we found that recruitment of the RNA processing machinery to nascent centromere-derived ncRNAs during mitosis enhances localization of CENP-A, CENP-C, and NDC80 to chromosomes. Second, ncRNA elongation and processing are required to properly regulate Aurora B kinase and maintain spindle integrity, at least in part by modulating MCAK localization. Our results indicate that multiple RNA biogenesis-dependent events contribute to kinetochore and spindle assembly, and that splicing is not globally inhibited during mitosis (Shin and Manley, 2002).

**Results and discussion**

**The RNA processing machinery is required for spindle assembly**

To investigate mitotic roles of the RNA processing machinery, we interfered with its function in both *X. laevis* and *Xenopus tropicalis* egg extracts. Abnormal spindle morphology was observed (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1), with defects including loss of spindle microtubule density, measured as median rhodamine tubulin fluorescence intensity, as well as disorganized structures with microtubules that projected off of the spindle, which manifested as changes in spindle solidity (Fig. S1 A). Multiple inhibition approaches were used, including RNase H–based knockdown of the U2 snRNA (Pan and Prives, 1988; Figs. 1 A and S1 B), immunodepletion of core spliceosomal snRNPs (Fig. 1 B and Fig. S1, C–E), and treatment with the splicing inhibitor isoginkgetin (ISGN; O’Brien et al., 2008) during mitosis (Fig. 1 C) or throughout the entire cell cycle (Fig. S1, F and G). Blocking Pol II transcription initiation with triptolide (Titov et al., 2011) resulted in similar spindle defects (Figs. 1 C and S1 H). These effects were not caused by aberrant expression of protein-coding RNAs, as blocking translation with cycloheximide did not result in detectable spindle defects (Fig. S1 I). These data suggest that the RNA processing machinery is involved in the mitotic regulation of one or more RNAs that are not acting in a protein coding capacity, hereafter referred to as ncRNAs, and that this regulation is important for spindle assembly.

**Centromere-derived ncRNAs are targets of the RNA processing machinery**

Given the growing number of studies showing a role for centromeric transcription (Chan and Wong, 2012; Gent and Dawe, 2012; Scott, 2013), we examined whether the RNA processing machinery was also involved in centromeric ncRNA biogenesis. Replicated, interphase nuclei were incubated in RNA-depleted, metaphase-arrested extract. After spindle assembly, RNAs were isolated and analyzed by RT-PCR with primers specific to the 174-bp fcr1 repeat (Edwards and Murray, 2005), the only known centromere sequence in *X. laevis* (Fig. 2 A). Whereas nuclei formed in mock-depleted extract produced amplification products that were 500 bp or less, nuclei formed in splicing...
factor–depleted extract produced a laddered pattern matching that observed when genomic DNA was amplified (Fig. 2 B and Fig. S2 C), indicating that tandem repeat–containing centromeric fcr1 RNAs were produced as large transcripts up to six repeat units in length in the absence of processing. Similar products were obtained in reactions treated with the splicing inhibitor ISGN, and no amplification was observed in extracts treated with triptolide, demonstrating that the Pol II products were not derived from RNA already present in the reaction (Fig. 2 C and Fig. S2, A–C). These products were derived from RNA, as no amplification was observed when reverse transcription was omitted (Fig. S2, A, D, and E), and products were synthesized from the added nuclei because no amplification was observed in their absence (Fig. S2 F).

Supporting association of centromeric transcripts with the spliceosome, we found that fcr1 transcripts coimmunoprecipitated with the core splicing machinery (Fig. 2 D). Fcr1 transcripts, including potentially processed transcripts, also coimmunoprecipitated with the inner centromere protein CENP-C (Figs. 2 E and S2 G). Centromeric RNA amplicons varied in size, likely reflecting underlying repeat sequence heterogeneity (Miga et al., 2014) that could lead to variation in splice site selection. These results indicate that centromeric RNAs such as fcr1 are transcribed and processed during mitosis.

The RNA processing machinery promotes kinetochore assembly
CENP-A and CENP-C depend in part on centromeric transcription for their localization (Chueh et al., 2009; Du et al., 2010). We therefore examined them by immunofluorescence after perturbation of RNA biogenesis and observed a decrease in staining density between 22.4% and 59.6% after inhibition of splicing or transcription initiation (Fig. 3, A and B). NDC80 staining intensity also decreased 10–19.9% under these conditions (Fig. 3 C), suggesting that centromere and inner kinetochore defects were propagated to the microtubule-binding interface of the outer kinetochore.

In contrast to results obtained with triptolide, we did not observe a decrease in CENP-A or NDC80 staining when transcription elongation was blocked with α-amanitin, which does not interfere with splicing catalysis (Bird et al., 2004) and leaves the nascent transcript associated with its chromosomal locus (Rudd and Luse, 1996). Interestingly, centromeric CENP-C staining increased significantly in α-amanitin–treated extract (Fig. S3 A), suggesting that increasing the residence time of nascent centromeric RNAs leads to greater CENP-C accumulation. Thus, although CENP-C RNA binding reinforces its centromeric localization (Du et al., 2010), our results suggest that splicing factor recruitment, but not transcript elongation or persistence of an RNA, plays a role in kinetochore assembly.

Because recent measurements suggest that CENP-A is distributed throughout the genome (Bodor et al., 2014), centromere RNA biogenesis during mitosis could provide a second signal that helps mark the site of kinetochore assembly.

Our results are in contrast to previous studies reporting that α-amanitin treatment decreased CENP-C centromere localization (Chan et al., 2012; Quénet and Dalal, 2014). However, the long time course required in cell culture could result
in α-amanitin dependent degradation of the Pol II large subunit (Nguyen et al., 1996), which would prevent splicing factor recruitment. In addition, our data are at odds with experiments in which RNAi depletion of centromeric transcripts led to centromere and kinetochore defects (Chueh et al., 2009; Quénet and Dalal, 2014). However, the RNAi machinery has been shown to trigger transcriptional gene silencing in vertebrates through siRNA-guided H3K9 di- and trimethylation, leading to the recruitment of HP1 proteins (Alló et al., 2009; Ameyar-Zazoua et al., 2012), which abolished the function of a human artificial chromosome centromere (Nakano et al., 2003). Thus, using RNAi to interrogate centromere RNA function could induce epigenetic centromere inactivation.

**ncRNA processing contributes to spindle integrity by regulating Aurora B and MCAK localization**

Because spindle defects observed upon inhibition of transcription or RNA processing were significant, we investigated whether ncRNA-dependent pathways in addition to kinetochore assembly contributed to spindle integrity. Aurora B kinase activity is stimulated by RNA binding (Ferri et al., 2009; Jambhekar et al., 2014), and centromere-localized Aurora B produces a phosphorylation gradient (Wang et al., 2011) that regulates the activity, localization, and microtubule binding of the microtubule depolymerase MCAK (Andrews et al., 2004; Lan et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2007; Tanenbaum and Medema, 2011; Ems-McClung et al., 2013). RNase A treatment of egg extract reduced Aurora B activity and caused spindle assembly defects that could be partially rescued by inhibiting MCAK (Jambhekar et al., 2014). Furthermore, a reduction in Aurora B staining at the inner centromere upon inhibition of transcription was recently observed (Blower, 2016). We therefore tested whether interfering with ncRNA biogenesis affected MCAK, and we observed increased staining density within the spindle upon inhibition of transcription initiation or RNA processing (Figs. 4 A and S3 C). Although we have not assayed Aurora B activity directly, these results indicate that RNA biogenesis-dependent regulation of Aurora B and MCAK promotes spindle microtubule stability.

To determine whether the ncRNA-dependent Aurora B and centromere/kinetochore regulation we observed were separable processes, and together sufficient to explain the RNA biogenesis spindle phenotype, we developed an approach to inhibit them individually and in combination. We found that blocking transcription elongation with α-amanitin resulted in accumulation of MCAK on the spindle (Fig. 4 B), consistent with misregulation of Aurora B (Tanenbaum and Medema, 2011; Ems-McClung et al., 2013), but that centromere or kinetochore assembly was unaffected (Fig. S3 A). We combined this treatment with direct inhibition of kinetochore fiber formation with an inhibitory NDC80 antibody (McCleland et al.,
and found that inhibition of both processes simultaneously caused spindle defects similar to those produced by interfering with RNA biogenesis, whereas individual inhibitions did not (Fig. 4, B–E). Directly blocking Aurora B activity with the small molecule ZM447439 (Gadea and Ruderman, 2005) resulted in MCAK localization defects similar to α-amanitin treatment and gave additive effects with NDC80 inhibition, but it did not increase the severity of ISGN-induced spindle defects (Fig. S3, B and D). Thus, the mitotic function of the RNA processing machinery is largely accounted for by its involvement in Aurora B kinase activation and assembly of the centromere and kinetochore. However, there is likely cross talk between these two pathways in egg extracts because Aurora B inhibition interferes with outer kinetochore assembly (Emanuele et al., 2008), and centromeric transcription is required for Aurora B enrichment at centromeres and normal bipolar attachment of kinetochores (Blower, 2016).

To begin to investigate the downstream function of RNA biogenesis in spindle assembly, we examined TPX2, a spindle assembly factor involved in kinetochore fiber formation (Ma et al., 2011) and microtubule branching nucleation (Petry et al., 2013), which has been shown to interact with the spliceosome in human cells (Makarov et al., 2002). We observed decreased levels of TPX2 on spindle microtubules upon treatment with transcription initiation or splicing inhibitors (Fig. 5, A and B) and found that addition of excess TPX2, but not the microtubule-stabilizing agent DMSO, rescued the spindle defects (Fig. 5, C and D; and Fig. S3 E). These results suggest that specific pathways affecting microtubule dynamics and organization are downstream of mitotic ncRNA biogenesis and act to stabilize the spindle. Given the known functions of TPX2, we propose that inhibition of RNA processing reduces amplification of spindle microtubules by interfering with nucleation from kinetochore fibers. However, it is also possible that excess
TPX2 rescues spindle defects at least in part through the direct activation of Aurora B (Iyer and Tsai, 2012).

In summary, our results show that splicing factors are required for proper spindle assembly in Xenopus egg extracts in the absence of global gene expression caused by at least two RNA-dependent processes. The first is the involvement of RNA biogenesis at the centromere in kinetochore assembly, and the second is RNA-dependent activation of Aurora B kinase. Given the conservation of centromeric transcription across organisms (Chan and Wong, 2012), a role for the splicing machinery is likely to be conserved and could explain mitotic phenotypes observed upon splicing factor knockdown in cultured cells (Goshima et al., 2007; Kittler et al., 2007; Somma et al., 2008; Neumann et al., 2010). Although our analysis focused on a known centromeric transcript, other transcripts may be produced and function in mitosis. Importantly, our results show that RNA processing is not completely repressed during mitosis as previously thought (Shin and Manley, 2002). Further characterization of the RNAs and their downstream impact on cell division promises to be an interesting avenue for future research.

Materials and methods

Xenopus egg extracts, spindle assembly reactions, and drug treatments

X. laevis and X. tropicalis egg extracts were prepared and spindle assembly reactions performed according to established protocols (Maresca and Heald, 2006; Brown et al., 2007). In brief, metaphase-arrested extract was induced to enter interphase by the addition of 0.5 mM CaCl₂, and subsequently induced to enter mitosis by the addition of one volume of metaphase-arrested extract after nuclei had completed DNA replication. Interphase nuclei for mitotic inhibition experiments were flash frozen in 25 µl aliquots of extract containing 8% (vol/vol) glycerol and stored at −80°C. Nuclei were thawed by the addition of 1 ml egg lysis buffer (250 mM sucrose, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, and 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.8) and pelleted at 1,600 g for 5 min at room temperature, then resuspended in fresh metaphase-arrested extract as described (Helmke and Heald, 2014). For mitotic inhibition experiments, fresh extract containing resuspended, cycled nuclei was split into separate reactions to which a final concentration of 100 µM ISGN (gift from D. Stanek, Institute of Molecular Genetics, Prague, Czech Republic; EMD Millipore) or 25 µM triptolide (TRIP; Sigma-Aldrich) was added. α-Amanitin (Sigma Aldrich) was used at a concentration of 50 µg/ml, ZM447439 at 5 µM, and cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich) at 100 and 1,000 µg/ml. Inhibitors were added and resuspended rapidly in mitotic extract, as lag times >60 s led to a significant decrease in phenotype severity and penetrance. Additionally, all egg lysis buffer was aspirated from pelleted nuclei as residual sucrose from the buffer masked the observed phenotypes. Great care was also taken during fixation of spindle reactions, as physical manipulation affected the recovery of fragile spindles resulting from inhibitor treatment. Centromere and kinetochore immunostaining experiments were performed in extracts treated throughout the entire cell cycle.

RNA-depleted extracts for centromere biogenesis assays were generated by treating cytostatic factor-arrested extract with 20 ng/µl RNase A for 30 min, then 1.5 U/µl RNasin (Promega) for 15 min before addition of nuclei and inhibitor.
Immunofluorescence, microscopy, and image analysis

All experiments were performed in at least biological triplicate. Immunofluorescence was performed as described (Mareasca and Heald, 2006), except that all primary antibodies were used at 1:1000 dilution and allowed to reach equilibrium for at least 2 h at 4°C. Secondary antibody staining (Alexa Fluor 488–labeled anti-rabbit; Invitrogen) was performed concomitant with Hoechst staining at final concentrations of 1 µg/ml and 2 µg/ml, respectively, for 60 min at 21°C. Xenopus CENP-A and CENP-C antibodies were a gift from the Straight Lab (Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA), Xenopus NDC80 antibody was a gift from the Stuekenberg Lab (University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA), and Xenopus MCAK antibody was a gift from C. Walczak (Indiana University, Bloomington, IN). Images were obtained on an epifluorescence microscope (BX51; Olympus) under 40x magnification (0.75 NA: UPlanFl N; Olympus) with TRITC, DAPI, and FITC filters (Chroma Technology Corp.) by an Orca-ER cooled CCD camera (Hamamatsu Photonics).

Images were analyzed using a custom CellProfiler pipeline (Grenfell et al., 2016), which scales image intensities between 0 and 1 based on image bit depth. Spindle phenotypes were evaluated using microtubule density (median rhodamine tubulin fluorescence value within the segmented spindle structure) and solidity (area of spindle/area of the convex hull of the spindle; Fig. S1), and kinetochore phenotypes quantified by measuring the median FITC fluorescence intensity per antibody labeled focus. Analysis of centromeric (CENP-A, CENP-C, and NDC80) foci was performed on maximum intensity z-projected images assembled using FJI from seven successive focal planes at 2-µm spacing. The fractional change in fluorescence intensity was calculated by subtracting the treatment median from the control median and dividing by the control median.

snRNA knockdown and immunodepletion

For U2 snRNA knockdown experiments, U2b DNA or scrambled control DNA oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technology; Black et al., 1985; Pan and Prives, 1988, 1989; Pan et al., 1989) were heated at 80°C for 8 min, cooled on ice, and added to metaphase-arrested extract to a final concentration of 2 mM and incubated at 19°C for 30 min to allow for antisense oligo–targeted degradation by endogenous RNase H. After incubation, 10 µl of extract was reserved for RNA isolation and the remaining extract was split and half was driven through interphase by the addition of 0.5 mM CaCl₂ (final concentration) after transcription inhibition in Xenopus egg extract. Fig. S2 shows replicates of the experiments shown in Fig. 2 as well as isolated RNA used for our PCR assay. Fig. S3 shows centromere and kinetochore staining after transcription elongation inhibition; spindle phenotypes associated with Aurora B, NDC80, and Aurora B plus splicing inhibition; MCAK linescan after transcription or splicing inhibition; and partial rescue of the splicing spindle phenotype after microtubule stabilization. Table S1 contains primer sequences used in this study. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201604029/DC1.

RNA isolation, RT-PCR, and qPCR analysis

RNA was isolated using TRIZol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 5:1 acidic phenol chloroform (Sigma-Aldrich) using the manufacturer’s protocol with minor modification. In brief, sample was homogenized in TRIZol and the protocol was followed through isopropanol precipitation. After precipitation, RNA was resuspended in Diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water and treated with RNase-free DNase I (Roche) for 30 min at 37°C or DNA Removal kit (Ambion) then extracted twice with acidic phenol chloroform before reverse transcription with Superscript III (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocols using random nonamers (qPCR), oligo dT18 (qPCR), or fcr1 gene-specific primers (centromeric ncRNA analysis). RNA integrity was assessed by running 1 µg of extracted RNA on an agarose gel and staining with ethidium bromide.

qPCR was performed on cDNA generated with random nonamers (U2 snRNA knockdown experiments) or oligo-dT18 (immunodepletion experiments) and SuperScript III (Invitrogen), using SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix on an ABI Thermalcycler. Analysis was performed using the ΔΔCT method with H3, 5S, or 18S as the reference transcripts (Table S1).

RT-PCR analysis of centromeric ncRNAs was performed with Phusion High Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Inc.) on cDNA generated using gene specific primers. Primers (Integrated DNA Technologies) were used at 0.5 µM final concentration and cDNA at 2 ng/µl in standard reaction buffer. Touchdown PCR (Don et al., 1991) was performed using 10 touchdown cycles with annealing temperatures starting at 69°C and ending at 59°C followed by 30 cycles with an annealing temperature of 59°C. Extension times were constant throughout at 120 s. Negative controls were processed in parallel using the same protocol except that reverse transcription was omitted.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the validation of our knockdown and immunodepletion experiments as well as phenotypes associated with splicing and transcription inhibition in Xenopus egg extract. Fig. S2 shows replicates of the experiments shown in Fig. 2 as well as isolated RNA used for our PCR assay. Fig. S3 shows centromere and kinetochore staining after transcription elongation inhibition; spindle phenotypes associated with Aurora B, NDC80, and Aurora B plus splicing inhibition; MCAK linescan after transcription or splicing inhibition; and partial rescue of the splicing spindle phenotype after microtubule stabilization. Table S1 contains primer sequences used in this study. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201604029/DC1.
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