





















## A bit of plant biology – the seeming paradox of vernalization

"Vernalization is the process by which prolonged exposure to cold temperatures promotes flowering.

Monocarpic species senesce after flowering and setting seed. ... Plants that require vernalization to flower thus typically require two seasons to complete the life cycle and are usually classified as biennials or winter annuals.

Many winter annuals and biennials become established in the fall, taking advantage of the cool and moist conditions optimal for their growth. The vernalization requirement of such plants prevents flowering until spring has actually arrived."

R. Amasino The Plant Cell 16:2553-2559 (2004)

#### Vernalization



. Amasino The Plant Cell 16:2553-2559 (2004)

A) A biennial cabbage (Brassica oleracea) variety with an obligate vernalization requirement that had been growing for five years without cold exposure. The small plant in my daughter's hands is a summer-annual variety of *B. oleracea* that flowers rapidly without vernalization-requiring habit, and (C) Summer annual and varabidopsis (C). In both examples, a single-dominant gene is responsible for the vernalization-requiring habit. All plants were grown in long days (inductive photopends) without vernalization. The rapid-alw initiated flowering ) are at left and the winter-annual kybes at right.

MCB 140, 9-26-08

"I think it is reasonable to refer to the vernalization-induced, mitotically stable acquisition of the competence to flower as **an epigenetic switch** because it is a change that can be propagated through cell divisions in the absence of the inducing signal."

R. Amasino The Plant Cell 16:2553-2559 (2004)



Amasino The Plant Cell 16:2553-2559 (2004)

"The vernalization-mediated repression of *FLC* is epigenetic in the sense discussed above: The repressed state of *FLC* is maintained after vernalized plants are returned to warm growing conditions. Thus, in Arabidopsis, vernalization provides competence to flower by repressing the expression of a flowering repressor. As expected, *FLC* expression is on again in the next generation. This resetting of the epigenetic switch during passage to the next generation is reminiscent of genomic imprinting in animals. But the unique aspect of this switch is that the on-to-off direction of the environment, whereas the off-to-on direction is set by passage to the next generation."





























# The molecular basis of epigenetic inheritance ...

- ... is the deposition, and subsequent maintenance through mitosis (plants and animals) and meiosis (plants) of covalent marks (such as methylation) on the DNA, and on the histones.
- These marks do NOT change the DNA sequence, but they change how the DNA sequence is read.
- The machinery that deposits these marks, the (dys)regulation of this machinery, and how the marks are read out are, without a doubt, the #1 field of study in genetics today.

MCB 140, 9-



#### Gene Inactivation Associated With CpG Island Methylation In Cancer

Gene	Locus	Function	Cancer
• Rb	13q14.2	Cell cycle regulation	Retinoblastoma
VHL	3p25	RNA elongation	Renal carcinoma
• P16	9p21	CDK inhibitor	Carcinomas
• P15	9p21	CDK inhibitor, TGF-β-induced	Leukemia, glioma
E-cadherin	16q22.1	Invasion/metastasis suppressor	Breast, prostate, gastric
Estrogen Rec	6q25	Hormone receptor	Breast, colon
<ul> <li>GST-π</li> </ul>	11q13	Detoxifying enzyme	Prostate
<ul> <li>O<sup>6</sup>MGMT</li> </ul>	10q24-qter	DNA repair	Cell lines
<ul> <li>Calcitonin</li> </ul>	11p15	Hormone	Leukemia, lung, colon
nes and Baylin I	Vat Rev Gen	et. 2002 Jun;3(6):415-28	MCB 140. 9-26-05























### The Haig hypothesis



- Imprinting evolved as a manifestation of parental conflict over the allocation of maternal resources to the developing fetus: "intrauterine tug of war" over how big the fetus will be.
- Paternally expressed genes increase embryo size.
- Maternally expressed genes decrease embryo size.

















#### S.J. Gould - keep Darwin out of it

"Those who recruit Darwin to support a particular moral or political line should remember that, at best, evolutionary biology may give us some insight into the anthropology of morals -- why some (or most) peoples practice certain values, perhaps for their Darwinian advantage. **But science can never decide the morality of morals.** Suppose we discovered that aggression, xenophobia, selective infanticide and the subjugation of women offered Darwinian advantages to our hunter-gatherer ancestors a million years ago on the African savannahs. **Such a conclusion could not validate the moral worth of these or any other behaviors, either then or now.**"

New York Times 5-29-98

MCB 140, 9-2